

1 DONALD M. FALK (Cal. Bar #150256)  
 2 SCHAERR | JAFFE LLP  
 3 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400  
 4 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 5 Tel: (415) 562-4942  
 6 Fax: (202) 776-0136  
 7 dfalk@schaerr-jaffe.com

8 EUGENE VOLOKH (Cal. Bar #194464)  
 9 evolokh@schaerr-jaffe.com  
 10 SCHAERR | JAFFE LLP  
 11 385 Charles East Young Dr. East  
 12 Los Angeles, CA 90095  
 13 Tel: (310) 206-3926

14 GENE C. SCHAERR (*pro hac vice*)  
 15 H CHRISTOPHER BARTOLOMUCCI (*pro hac vice*)  
 16 EDWARD H. TRENT (*pro hac vice*)  
 17 SCHAERR | JAFFE LLP  
 18 1717 K Street NW, Suite 900  
 19 Washington, DC 20006  
 20 Tel: (202) 787-1060  
 21 gschaerr@schaerr-jaffe.com  
 22 cbartolomucci@schaerr-jaffe.com  
 23 etrent@schaerr-jaffe.com

24 *Counsel for Plaintiff*

25 DANIEL M. PETROCELLI (S.B. #97802)  
 26 dpetrocelli@omm.com  
 27 MOLLY M. LENS (S.B. #283867)  
 28 mlens@omm.com  
 KRISTIN MACDONNELL (S.B. #307124)  
 kmacdonnell@omm.com  
 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 Los Angeles, California 90067-6035  
 Telephone: (310) 553-6700  
 Facsimile: (310) 246-6779

JONATHAN D. HACKER (*pro hac vice pending*)  
 jhacker@omm.com  
 JOSHUA REVESZ (*pro hac vice*)  
 jrevesz@omm.com  
 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
 1625 Eye Street, NW  
 Washington, DC 20006  
 Telephone: (202) 383-5300  
 Facsimile: (202) 383-5414

*Counsel for Defendants*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

GINA CARANO,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY,  
LUCASFILM LTD. LLC; and  
HUCKLEBERRY INDUSTRIES (US)  
INC.,

Defendants.

**Case No.: 2:24-CV-01009-SPG-SK**

**JOINT REPORT OF PARTIES'  
RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE**

Assigned to:  
Hon. Sherilyn Peace Garnett  
Magistrate Judge Steve Kim

Original Complaint: 2/6/2024  
Responsive Pleading: Motion to  
Dismiss, 4/9/2024  
Proposed Trial Dates: 9/29/2025

**Scheduling Conference**

Date: TBD<sup>1</sup>  
Time: TBD  
Courtroom: 5C

Pursuant to the Court's Order Granting Joint Stipulation Regarding Motion to Dismiss Briefing Schedule and Rule 26 Obligations (ECF No. 36), Plaintiff Gina Carano ("Carano"), and Defendants The Walt Disney Company, Lucasfilm Ltd. LLC, and Huckleberry Industries (US) Inc. (collectively, "Disney") hereby submit this Joint Report on all matters in the Court's Standing Order for Newly Filed Civil Cases, including those required to be discussed by Rule 26(f) and Local Rule 26-1. The positions set forth in this Joint Report are based on the parties' current understanding of the legal and factual issues involved in this case. The parties acknowledge that as discovery proceeds and the case progresses, the beliefs and views set forth herein may change or evolve.

<sup>1</sup> Given pre-planned travel schedules, to the extent that the Court would like to hold a Rule 16 scheduling conference, the parties respectfully request that it be scheduled for August 20 or later, with Carano's counsel requesting to attend via Zoom.

1 **1. STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

2 Carano initiated this case on February 6, 2024. ECF 1. Disney moved to  
3 dismiss on April 9, 2024. ECF 33. Carano responded on May 9, 2024, ECF 37,  
4 and Disney replied on May 23, 2024, ECF 38. The Court held a hearing on June  
5 12, 2024. ECF 40. Disney's motion was denied on July 24, 2024, ECF 45.

6 **Carano's Statement:** Gina Carano played the role of Cara Dune during the  
7 first two seasons of the Lucasfilm production of *The Mandalorian*. In 2020,  
8 Disney took adverse action against Carano for posts she made on her personal  
9 social media account, including her account on X (formerly Twitter) expressing  
10 her personal political opinions. Then on February 10, 2021, Lucasfilm put out a  
11 statement terminating Carano from her role due to her social media posts  
12 expressing her personal political opinions. As a result, Carano claims in Counts I  
13 and II of her Complaint that Disney violated California Labor Code §§ 1101, 1102,  
14 and 98.6 which prevents employers from threatening or discharging employees  
15 because of their political activities or beliefs, which includes the employee's  
16 political speech. In her social-media posts made on her own time and on her own  
17 social-media accounts, Carano expressed her personal political views, opinions,  
18 and beliefs. In retaliation for Carano's exercise of her speech rights and her  
19 political beliefs, Disney terminated Carano and are believed to have taken other  
20 actions to prevent Carano from obtaining other work in Hollywood. Additionally,  
21 because male actors were allowed to make political comments on social media  
22 without any adverse action, Carano claims in Count III of her Complaint that  
23 Disney discriminated against her on the basis of her sex in violation of California  
24 Code § 12940.

25 **Disney's Statement:** Beginning in 2018, Disney engaged Carano as a guest  
26 actor in *The Mandalorian*, a hit television program set within the *Star Wars*  
27 universe. As Carano's own fame rose with her character's, Carano began engaging  
28 with show fans and the public in a manner that, in Disney's view, came to distract

1 from and undermine Disney’s own expressive efforts. Carano repeatedly made  
 2 public declarations on controversial topics including pandemic-related closure  
 3 orders, vaccine mandates, the legitimacy of the 2020 Presidential election, and the  
 4 use of pronouns to show support for transgender rights. Carano ultimately shared  
 5 an online post comparing criticism of politically conservative viewpoints to the  
 6 Holocaust, which was the final straw for Disney. In response, Lucasfilm issued a  
 7 statement condemning her posts and clarifying that Carano was not currently  
 8 employed by Lucasfilm and there were no plans for her to be in the future. With  
 9 her litigation funded by Elon Musk, Carano responded by suing Disney under  
 10 California labor laws prohibiting employers from taking adverse employment  
 11 actions on the basis of an employee’s political activity. Carano alleges that Disney  
 12 disassociated from her because she expressed political viewpoints Disney found  
 13 objectionable. Disney contends, *inter alia*, that under the First Amendment, the  
 14 state cannot force it to express its own artistic message through actors associated  
 15 with political viewpoints Disney does not want linked to its own artistic message.  
 16 Separate and apart from the First Amendment, Disney maintains that discovery  
 17 will reflect that its decision to disassociate from Carano was entirely justified.

## 18 **2. SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION**

19 This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to  
 20 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1343 because the suit is between persons and entities of  
 21 different states<sup>2</sup> and Carano alleges that the amount in controversy exceeds  
 22 \$75,000 exclusive of interest, costs, and attorneys’ fees. This Court has  
 23 supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

---

27  
 28 <sup>2</sup> Each party’s citizenship is listed below under “(4) Parties, Evidence, Etc.”

1     **3.     LEGAL ISSUES**

2             **Procedural Issues:** The parties are not currently aware of any procedural  
3 issues.

4             **Substantive Issues:** The parties disagree whether Carano was employed by  
5 Disney on February 10, 2021, such that Disney could have “terminated” her, as  
6 Carano contends. The parties similarly disagree whether Carano applied for any  
7 employment at Disney, such that Disney could have “refused to hire her,” as  
8 Carano contends. The parties further disagree whether Carano was “treated  
9 differently than her similarly situated male co-workers,” as Carano contends.  
10 Additionally, the parties disagree that Disney may “reinstate Carano to her prior  
11 position,” as Carano requests. This is not an exhaustive list of the parties’  
12 substantive disagreements.

13             **Evidentiary Issues:** The parties are not currently aware of any evidentiary  
14 issues.

15     **4.     PARTIES, EVIDENCE, ETC.**

16             **Carano’s Position:** Carano is an individual, and thus, does not have any  
17 subsidiaries, parents or affiliates.

18             Key witnesses and parties include:

- 19             • Gina Carano
- 20             • United Talent Agency
- 21             • ID PR
- 22             • Jon Favreau
- 23             • Pedro Pascal
- 24             • Kathleen Kennedy
- 25             • Bob Chapek
- 26             • Lynn Hale
- 27             • Bear Grylls

1 Key documents include:

- 2 • Carano's social media posts
- 3 • Communications between and/or among employees of Disney and/or
- 4 their subsidiaries, contractors, or other related entities related to
- 5 Carano's social media posts.
- 6 • Carano's contracts with Disney
- 7 • Communications between Disney (including its employees or agents)
- 8 and Carano's former talent agency, publicist, and manager
- 9 • Communications between Disney (including its employees or agents)
- 10 and other movie/show producers concerning Carano and any damages
- 11 Carano suffered as a result of lost work due to such communications
- 12 • Documents showing plans for *Rangers of the New Republic* series as
- 13 well as other series or movies in which Carano would have had a role
- 14 • Documents showing compensation Carano would have earned had
- 15 Disney not unlawfully terminated her promised projects including but
- 16 not limited to future seasons of *The Mandalorian*, *Rangers of the New*
- 17 *Republic*, and *Star Wars* films that were to include the character Cara
- 18 Dune.
- 19 • Social media posts made by Pedro Pascal, Mark Hamill, or other
- 20 similarly situated employees or actors
- 21 • Documents related to Disney's treatment of similarly situated male
- 22 employees or actors
- 23 • Documents or communications related to Disney's public statements
- 24 regarding Plaintiff's termination
- 25 • Documents or communications relating to Disney's social media
- 26 policies for employees.
- 27 • Documents or communications relating to Disney's diversity, equity,
- 28 and inclusion programs and policies

- Documents or communications related to Disney's decision to terminate or disassociate from Carano and the reasons for that decision

**Disney's Position:** The Walt Disney Company is incorporated in Delaware with its principal place of business in California and is the parent company of both Lucasfilm Ltd. LLC and Huckleberry Industries (US) Inc. Lucasfilm Ltd. LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of The Walt Disney Company incorporated and with its principal place of business in California. Huckleberry Industries (US) Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of The Walt Disney Company incorporated and with its principal place of business in California.

Although Disney disagrees with Carano's list of witnesses above, without repeating those already enumerated, Disney additionally identifies:

- Carrie Beck
- Paul Roeder

Again, although Disney disagrees with Carano's list of documents above, without repeating those already enumerated, Disney additionally identifies:

- Documents supporting Carano's claimed damages, including, without limitation, her claimed emotional distress and lost job opportunities outside of Disney.

## **5. DAMAGES**

Carano seeks compensatory damages for (1) the loss of her employment from the date of termination, (2) the loss of future employment, (3) emotional distress, (4) punitive damages, (5) appropriate equitable relief, and (5) attorneys' fees and costs. Carano's calculation of damages remains ongoing and will be supported through expert testimony based on information obtained during discovery.

Disney disagrees that Carano has been damaged and/or is entitled to any of the requested damages.

1 **6. INSURANCE**

2 Carano does not have any applicable insurance. Disney discloses the  
3 existence of an insurance policy, with further information about such policy to be  
4 disclosed as part of Disney's forthcoming Rule 26(a) disclosures.

5 **7. MOTIONS**

6 **a) Procedural Motions**

7 Carano may seek to amend her complaint based upon evidence obtained  
8 through discovery or other new information. The parties agree that any  
9 amendments to the pleadings should be no later than December 20, 2024.

10 **b) Dispositive Motions**

11 The parties may move for summary judgment if supported by facts and  
12 evidence obtained through discovery. The parties propose **June 11, 2025**, as the  
13 last day for hearing dispositive motions. The issues likely to be addressed in  
14 Carano's motion include the reasons for Disney's termination of Carano, treatment  
15 of Carano, and treatment of similarly situated employees. The issues likely to be  
16 addressed in Disney's motion include the fact that Carano was not a Disney  
17 employee in February 2021, that Carano did not apply for any position at Disney  
18 for which Disney refused to hire her because of her political activity, Carano's  
19 inability to show that she was treated differently than any similarly situated male  
20 co-workers, and Disney's affirmative defense based on the First Amendment.

21 **8. MANUAL FOR COMPLEX LITIGATION**

22 A manual for complex litigation is not applicable in this action.

23 **9. DISCOVERY**

24 **a) Status of Discovery**

25 Pursuant to this Court's April 12, 2025 order, discovery and the parties'  
26 obligations to conduct a Rule 26(f) conference were deferred until July 12, 2024,  
27 subject to the parties' ability to request additional time, if appropriate. The parties  
28 agree to exchange initial disclosures on or before August 16, 2024.



1           **b)     Discovery Plan**

2           At this time, the parties do not believe discovery should be bifurcated or  
3 conducted in phases or that modifications of the discovery limitations set forth in  
4 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Rules are necessary. Carano,  
5 however, anticipates that the limit on the number of depositions, but not the length  
6 of any particular deposition, may need to be modified depending on information  
7 obtained during discovery.

8           The parties anticipate discovery requests related to Carano's social media  
9 posts, communications with Carano's agents regarding her social media posts,  
10 social media posts by similarly situated males employees/actors and any  
11 disciplinary action taken against them as a result, Carano's guest actor agreements  
12 with Disney, Disney's decision to terminate (or disassociate from) Carano, and  
13 Carano's claimed damages, with the parties reserving their respective rights with  
14 respect to any such requests.

15           **i.     Initial Disclosures**

16           The parties propose that no changes should be made to the form or  
17 requirement for making initial disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1). The parties agree  
18 to exchange initial disclosures on or before August 16, 2024.

19           **ii.    Written Discovery**

20           The parties plan to serve Requests for Production, Interrogatories, and/or  
21 Requests for Admission and may serve additional written discovery within the  
22 limits of the Federal Rules. The parties agree that any motions challenging the  
23 adequacy of discovery responses shall be filed timely, served and calendared  
24 sufficiently in advance of the discovery cut-off date to permit the revised responses  
25 to be obtained before that date, if the motion is granted.

26           **iii.   Depositions**

27           **Carano's Position:** Carano intends to take at least 10 depositions, including  
28 Disney's employees involved in the decision to terminate Carano, a 30(b)(6)

1 deposition of each Defendant, designated experts, third party employees or entities  
2 for information relevant to damages, whether of individuals or according to Rule  
3 30(b)(6), but the identities of many deponents are not yet known. Depositions shall  
4 take place in advance of the discovery cut-off date and after the parties complete  
5 written discovery necessary to conduct such depositions. Carano expects that  
6 given the nature of the case, more than the allowed ten depositions will be  
7 necessary to gain a complete picture of what occurred in her case.

8 **Disney's Position:** Disney intends to depose Carano. Disney may also want  
9 to depose Carano's former agents, including her publicist(s), manager(s), and  
10 agent(s). Disney reserves the right to identify additional witnesses to be deposed  
11 as the case progresses but disagrees that this is a sufficiently complicated or  
12 complex case warranting Carano's stated desire to exceed the 10-deposition limit  
13 under the Federal Rules. Disney also disagrees that a 30(b)(6) deposition "of each  
14 Defendant" is warranted, as Carano states.

15 **iv. Protective Order, Email Service and ESI**

16 The parties agree to enter into a suitable stipulated Protective Order for  
17 protection of documents or information containing confidential, proprietary, trade  
18 secret or private personal information that may be subject to legal protections.

19 All electronically stored information that is responsive to a discovery  
20 request, or that must be produced with a party's initial disclosures, will be  
21 produced in a mutually agreed upon format, which will be reflected in a proposed  
22 Protective Order. Each party may request electronic copies of any paper  
23 documents produced. The parties agree that discoverable information contained  
24 electronically shall not be destroyed, deleted, or otherwise discarded. The parties  
25 have agreed to service via e-mail.

1           **c)     Discovery Cut-off**

2           The parties propose **April 18, 2025**, as the Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date in  
3 this matter, including resolution of all discovery motions. The parties agree that  
4 discovery need not be bifurcated or conducted in phases.

5           **d)     Expert Discovery**

6           The parties propose that all expert discovery and disclosures shall be  
7 governed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2), and propose the following expert  
8 discovery deadlines:

9           Initial Expert Disclosures Due:                   **April 11, 2025**

10          Rebuttal Expert Disclosures Due:               **May 11, 2025**

11          Expert Discovery Cut-Off/Closed:               **June 2, 2025**

12          **e)     Settlement Conference/Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)**

13          No settlement negotiations have occurred as of the filing of this Report. No  
14 Notice of Court-Directed ADR has been filed. The parties are agreeable to private  
15 mediation. The parties propose **July 15, 2025**, as the last day to complete ADR.

16          **f)     Trial**

17               **i.     Trial Estimate**

18          The parties estimate that trial will take **approximately one week**. The  
19 parties propose a trial date of **September 29, 2025**. Carano anticipates calling  
20 approximately 10 to 15 witnesses understanding this may change depending on  
21 discovery and any ruling on summary judgment. Disney currently anticipates  
22 calling approximately five witnesses.

23               **ii.    Jury or Court Trial**

24          Plaintiff seeks a trial by jury.

25               **iii.   Consent to Trial Before a Magistrate Judge**

26          The parties do not consent to having a Magistrate Judge preside over this  
27 action.

1                    **iv.      Lead Trial Counsel**

2                    Lead Trial Counsel for Carano will be: (i) Gene C. Schaerr and (ii) Edward  
3 H. Trent.

4                    Lead Trial Counsel for Disney will be (i) Daniel M. Petrocelli and (ii) Molly  
5 M. Lens.

6                    **g)      Independent Expert or Master**

7                    The parties do not believe that this is a case in which the Court should  
8 consider appointing a master pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 53 or an independent  
9 scientific expert.

10                   **h)      Other Issues.**

11                   The parties are unaware of any other issues affecting the status or  
12 management of the case that should be discussed with the Court, nor do the parties  
13 have any proposals regarding severance, bifurcation, or other ordering of proof.

14  
15  
16 DATED: July 26, 2024

SCHAERR | JAFFE LLP

17  
18 By: /s/ Gene C. Schaerr  
Gene C. Schaerr

19                    *Counsel for Plaintiff*

20  
21 DATED: July 26, 2024

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

22  
23 By: /s/ Daniel M. Petrocelli  
Daniel M. Petrocelli

24                    *Counsel for Defendants*

1                   **ATTESTATION RE ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES**

2                   The filer of this document attests that all other signatories to this document,  
3 on whose behalf this filing is submitted, concur as to the content and have  
4 authorized their signature and filing of the document.

5 DATED: July 26, 2024

6                   SCHAERR | JAFFE LLP

7                   By: /s/ Gene C. Schaerr  
8                   Gene C. Schaerr

9                   *Counsel for Plaintiff*  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**JUDGE SHERILYN PEACE GARNETT**  
**SCHEDULE OF PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DATES WORKSHEET**

Please complete this worksheet jointly and file it with your Joint Rule 26(f) Report.  
**The Court ORDERS the parties to make every effort to agree on dates.**

Case No. 2:24-cv-01009-SPG-SK	Case Name: Carano v. The Walt Disney Company, et al.		
<b>Trial and Final Pretrial Conference Dates</b>		<b>Parties' Joint Date</b> mm/dd/yyyy	<b>Court Order</b>
Check one: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jury Trial or <input type="checkbox"/> Court Trial <input type="checkbox"/> Magistrate Judge <b>(Monday at 8:30 a.m., within 12 months of Scheduling Conference)</b> Estimated Duration: 5 Days		09/29/2025	<input type="checkbox"/> Jury Trial <input type="checkbox"/> Court Trial
Final Pretrial Conference ("FPTC") [L.R. 16] <b>(Wednesday at 3:00 p.m., at least 18 days before trial)</b>		09/10/2025	
<b>Event <sup>1</sup></b> <b>Note:</b> Hearings shall be on <b>Wednesday</b> at 1:30pm Other dates can be any day of the week	<b>Weeks Before FPTC<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Parties' Joint Date</b> mm/dd/yyyy	<b>Court Order</b>
Last Date to <u>Hear</u> Motion to Amend Pleadings / Add Parties [Wednesday]	24	12/20/2024	
Fact Discovery Cut-Off (No later than deadline for filing dispositive motion)	18	04/18/2025	
Expert Disclosure (Initial)	16	04/11/2025	
Expert Disclosure (Rebuttal)	14	05/11/2025	
Expert Discovery Cut-Off	13	06/02/2025	
Last Date to <u>Hear</u> Motions <sup>3</sup> • Motions due at least 4 weeks before hearing; • Opposition due at least 3 weeks before hearing; • Reply due at least 2 weeks before hearing.	12	06/11/2025	
Last Date to <u>Hear</u> <i>Daubert</i> Motions	8	07/16/2025	
Deadline to Complete Settlement Conference [L.R. 16-15] <u>Select one:</u> <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Magistrate Judge <i>(with Court approval)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Court's Mediation Panel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. Private Mediation	5	07/15/2025	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Mag. J. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Panel <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Private
<b><u>Trial Filings (first round)</u></b> • Motions <i>In Limine</i> (except <i>Daubert</i> ) • Memoranda of Contentions of Fact and Law [L.R. 16-4] • Witness Lists [L.R. 16-5] • Joint Exhibit List [L.R. 16-6.1] • Joint Status Report Regarding Settlement • Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law [L.R. 52] (court trial only) • Declarations containing Direct Testimony, if ordered (court trial only)	4	08/13/2025	
<b><u>Trial Filings (second round)</u></b> • Oppositions to Motions in Limine • Joint Proposed Final Pretrial Conference Order [L.R. 16-7] • Joint/Agreed Proposed Jury Instructions (jury trial only) • Disputed Proposed Jury Instructions (jury trial only) • Joint Proposed Verdict Forms (jury trial only) • Joint Proposed Statement of the Case (jury trial only) • Proposed Additional Voir Dire Questions, if any (jury trial only) • Evidentiary Objections to Declarations of Direct Testimony (court trial only)	2	08/27/2025	

<sup>1</sup> Once issued, this "schedule may be modified only for good cause and with the judge's consent." Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4).

<sup>2</sup> The numbers below represent the Court's recommended timeline. The parties may propose alternate dates based on the needs of each individual case. Class Actions and ERISA cases may need to vary from the above.

<sup>3</sup> Before filing Rule 56 motions, parties must review and comply with the Court's Standing Order for MSJ, which sets forth the briefing schedule and specific requirements for joint briefing and filing such motions.